

# International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Bundle to Prevent Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) in Intensive Care Units (ICU): An International Perspective.

## Purpose

Previously published guidelines are available that provide comprehensive recommendations for detecting and preventing healthcare-associated infections, especially in the USA. The intent of this document is to highlight practical recommendations in a concise format designed to assist acute care hospitals worldwide in implementing and prioritizing their ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) prevention efforts.

## VAP Background

### VAP Rates Internationally.

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) has been considered to be the most serious healthcare-associated infection (HAI), and it was reported to be the leading cause of morbidity and mortality for device-associated infections (DAI), particularly, in the adult intensive care unit (AICU) setting.<sup>1,2</sup> Additionally, in a large body of scientific literature, VAPs are among the commonest type of DAI, resulting in a substantial increase in hospital costs and length of stay (LOS).<sup>1-3</sup>

The scope of the burden posed by VAP internationally, however, has not been systematically addressed.<sup>1</sup> Although surveillance has been reported as an effective tool for the reduction of VAP in the USA,<sup>4</sup> the importance of surveillance for measuring AICU patient infection risks, outcomes and processes internationally remains many times under-recognized.<sup>1,5</sup> As a countervailing strategy, in 2002 the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) developed an outcome and process surveillance program specifically designed for ICUs internationally.<sup>6-8</sup>

Through the implementation of the INICC program, it was demonstrated that there was a notable difference in the VAP rates between the ICUs of hospitals from the industrialized world and those internationally, with rates ranging from 3 to 5 times higher in the latter ones.<sup>9-18</sup>

The prevalence of HAI internationally was found to at least double the rates published by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control,<sup>19</sup> and triple those found in the USA.<sup>20</sup>

In the case of DA-HAIs, the rate of device use was found to be analogous or even lower to the one reported of U.S. ICUs by the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (NNIS)/ National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) System;<sup>21,22</sup> however, pooled mean rates identified in intensive care units (ICUs) internationally by the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) were found to be exceedingly higher than those reported from U.S.'s ICUs by the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN).<sup>5,17,22</sup>

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews on HAI have been scant internationally. Furthermore, such analyzes could not retrieve enough data from some

regions and many countries were not even represented.<sup>23</sup> The systematic review and meta-analysis on the burden of endemic HAI internationally by Allegranzi *et al* concluded that HAI prevalence was significantly higher in low and middle low-income countries compared to USA and Germany. The incidence density of DAI in critically ill patients was found to be from two- to 19-fold higher than those reported from the USA and Germany.<sup>23</sup> In a systematic review by Arabi *et al* on VAP in adults internationally, from 1966 to 2007, the rates of VAP were higher overall than NHSN benchmark rates, and ranged from 10 to 41.7 per 1000 ventilator-days. The review found that the crude mortality attributable to VAP ranged from 16% to 94%.<sup>1</sup>

Applying INICC methodology the following VAP rates per 1000 mechanical ventilator days were collected and found: in Argentina is 46.3;<sup>24</sup> in Brazil is 20.9;<sup>25</sup> in China is 20.8;<sup>26</sup> in Colombia is 10.1;<sup>27</sup> in Cuba is 52.5;<sup>28</sup> in Egypt is 73.4;<sup>29</sup> in El Salvador is 12.1 in PICU,<sup>30</sup> and 9.9 in NICU;<sup>30</sup> in India is 10.4;<sup>31</sup> in Mexico is 21.8;<sup>32</sup> in Morocco is 43.2;<sup>33</sup> in Peru is 31.3;<sup>34</sup> in Philippines is 16.7 in adult ICU,<sup>35</sup> and is 12.8 in Pediatric ICU,<sup>35</sup> in Poland is 18.2;<sup>36</sup> in Turkey is 26.5;<sup>37</sup> in Lebanon is 8.1;<sup>38</sup> in the INICC international report from 8 countries is 24.1;<sup>39</sup> in the INICC international report from 18 countries is 19.5;<sup>40</sup> in the INICC international report from 25 countries is 13.6;<sup>41</sup> in the INICC international report from 36 countries is 15.8;<sup>42</sup> in the INICC report of Neonatal ICUs of 15 countries is 9.7.<sup>43</sup>

### VAP Mortality, Extra Length of Stay, and Extra Cost Internationally.

From the available literature, it is highly visible that the adverse consequences of device-associated HAIs (DA-HAI) internationally —that is, attributable mortality,<sup>3,6,9,10,12,13,17,33,44-55</sup> prolonged length of stay,<sup>3,6,9,10,12,36,44-49,51-55</sup> extra hospital costs,<sup>3,44,47</sup> and increased bacterial resistance<sup>25,27,31,33,34,36,40,41,56-59</sup> — are more far-reaching in terms of severity than in the USA and Germany.

In order to calculate the cost of nosocomial pneumonia in intensive care units, a 5-year matched cohort study was undertaken at 6 ICUs of three hospitals in Argentina members of INICC. Three hundred and seven patients with VAP (exposed) and 307 patients without VAP (unexposed) were matched for hospital, ICU, period, LOS more than

seven days, gender, age, and average severity of illness score (ASIS). The mean extra LOS for 307 cases (compared to the controls) was 8.95 days, the mean extra antibiotic defined daily doses (DDD) was 15, the mean extra antibiotic cost was \$996, the mean extra total cost was \$2,255, and the extra mortality was 30.3%.<sup>60</sup> Nevertheless, the extended suffering of patients and their relatives cannot be estimated in terms of economic costs only. Mortality due to ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) has been found by Rosenthal to be as high as 56.7%.<sup>33</sup>

In a study performed in hospitals member of INICC in 10 developing countries to estimate extra LOS and mortality in an intensive care unit (ICU) due to a VAP, a cohort of 69,248 admissions were followed for 283,069 days in ICUs. Data were arranged according to a multi-state format. Extra LOS and increased risk of death were estimated independently in each country, and their results were combined using a random effects meta-analysis. The findings of the analysis showed that a VAP prolonged LOS by an average of 2.03 days (95% CI: 1.52, 2.54 days), and increased the risk of death by 14% (95% CI: 2, 27%).<sup>61</sup>

#### **Antibiotic Usage and Bacterial Resistance Internationally.**

The relationship of antibiotic use and the emergence of antibiotic-resistant HAI is an issue that epidemiologists and hospital authorities internationally must be aware of. In INICC's ICUs, antimicrobial resistance rates found for *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates as resistant to methicillin (MRSA), *enterobacteria* resistant to ceftazidime (extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producers), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as resistant to fluoroquinolones, were far higher than NHSN ICUs' rates.<sup>62</sup> Nonetheless, the rates found in the INICC's ICUs for *enterococcal* isolates as resistant to vancomycin were much lower than NHSN ICUs' rates.<sup>33,56,62</sup>

#### **VAP Rates in Neonatal ICUs Internationally.**

In several studies, researchers have highlighted the extreme vulnerability of neonates hospitalized in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) to mortality attributable to DA-HAI, with rates ranging from 24% in the pre-surfactant era to 11% in the post-surfactant era in the developed countries.<sup>63-66</sup> However, within the context of developing countries, access to knowledge regarding DA-HAI is scarce, and there is an insufficient recognition of the importance of surveillance for measuring the infection risks, outcomes and processes concerning the neonatal patient hospitalized in the NICU.<sup>30,67-69</sup> In this respect, a recent study was performed to evaluate the impact of country socioeconomic status and hospital type on device-associated healthcare-associated infections (DA-HAIs) in 30 neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), from hospitals members of INICC in 15 developing countries. Its findings revealed that ventilator-associated-pneumonia (VAP) rates in patients hospitalized in

NICUs from academic hospitals were significantly higher than rates found in private or public hospitals.<sup>52</sup>

#### **Strategies for VAP Rate Reduction Internationally.**

According to a review by Arabi *et al* on VAP in adults internationally, a small number of VAP intervention studies were performed, which found that staff education programs, implementation of hand hygiene, and VAP prevention guidelines, and implementation of sedation protocol were related to a significant reduction in VAP rates.<sup>1</sup>

Since 1998, INICC has conducted several studies internationally, in order to reduce VAP rates, applying similar methodology. The aim of these studies was to analyze the effect of the INICC multidimensional infection prevention model on the reduction of VAP in hospitalized patients. It was a prospective active surveillance before-after study to assess the impact of a multi-dimensional prevention model on the VAP rate. The study was divided into two phases. During phase 1, the infection control team at each ICU conducted active prospective surveillance of VAP by applying the definitions of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) National Health Safety Network (NHSN), and the methodology of INICC. During phase 2, the prevention model for VAP was implemented at each ICU, in addition to the active surveillance. The INICC VAP prevention model included the following measures: 1- bundle of infection control interventions, 2- education, 3- outcome surveillance, 4- process surveillance, 5- feedback of VAP rates, and 6- performance feedback of infection control practices. The VAP rates obtained in phase 1 were compared to the rates obtained in phase 2.

The one conducted by INICC in China,<sup>70</sup> from January 2005 to July 2009, recorded data from 16,429 patients hospitalized in 3 ICUs, for a total of 74,116 ICU bed days. The VAP baseline rate was 24.1 per 1000 ventilator-days. During phase 2, the VAP rate significantly decreased to 5.7 per 1000 ventilator-days in 2009 (2009 vs 2005: relative risk, 0.31; 95% confidence interval, 0.16-0.36; P = .0001), amounting to a 79% cumulative VAP rate reduction.<sup>70</sup>

The one conducted by INICC in Adult ICUs,<sup>71</sup> showed that in 44 AICUs, from 38 hospitals members of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC), from 31 cities of the following 14 developing countries: Argentina, Brazil, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, India, Lebanon, Macedonia, Mexico, Morocco, Panama, Peru, and Turkey. During Phase 1, we recorded 10,292 mechanical ventilator (MV) days, and during Phase 2, with the implementation of the multifaceted prevention model, we recorded 127,374 MV days. The rate of VAP was 22.0 per 1000 MV days during Phase 1, and 17.2 per 1000 MV days during Phase 2. The adjusted model of linear trend shows a 55.83% reduction of the rate of VAP at the end of the study period; that is, the VAP rate is 55.83% lower than it was at the beginning of the study.<sup>71</sup>

The one conducted by INICC in Pediatric ICUs,<sup>72</sup> showed that during the baseline period, we recorded 5,212 mechanical ventilator (MV) days, and during the implementation of the bundle of interventions, we recorded 9,894 MV days. VAP rate during baseline period was 11.7, and during intervention period, it was 8.1 per 1000 MV days (RR; 0.69; 95% CI 0.5-0.96; P 0.02), which showed a 31% VAP rate reduction.<sup>72</sup>

The one conducted by INICC in Neonatal ICUs,<sup>73</sup> showed that during Phase 1, we recorded 3,153 mechanical ventilator (MV) days, and during Phase 2, with the implementation of the bundle of interventions, we recorded 15,981 MV days. VAP rate during Phase 1 period was 17.8, and during Phase 2 period was 12.0 per 1000 MV days (RR; 0.67; 95% CI 0.50-0.91; P 0.001), showing a 33% VAP rate reduction.<sup>73</sup>

Our results demonstrate that the implementation of the INICC multidimensional infection control program was associated with a significant VAP rate reduction in adult, pediatric and neonatal ICUs internationally.<sup>70-73</sup>

### Conclusion

These findings are a clear indication of the influence that economics, as a surrogate of available medical supplies, outdated technology, and scarce human resources availability, have on developing countries, and of the close relation between hospital type and limited access to health care resources. In public and academic hospitals, the limited resources in terms of adequate number of trained and specialized staff, budget, medical supplies and hospital administrative support is markedly more serious than in private hospitals, as the public hospital are more dependent on the socio-economic category of the country concerning the budget allocation. Limited-resource countries are confronted with aspects that transcend clinical findings and good delivery of healthcare practices; the harsher reality suffered by patients hospitalized in the ICUs of developing countries lies outside the scope of the hospital itself, and reflects the country's social and political situation, poor living conditions, difficult or differentiated access to labor market and precarious labor conditions, diversity of cultural values, unequal allocation of assets among population resulting in unsatisfied basic needs, including sanitary infrastructure and limited access to the education and health system. As long as these conditions prevail, healthcare workers from developing countries are urged to focus their best efforts on improving healthcare and clinical practices, and disseminating their successful achievements, so as to be able to counteract the many social factors that cannot be directly controlled by clinical practices alone.<sup>74</sup> Also the findings of these reviews and meta-analyses evidence the urgent need to improve surveillance, infection control practices, update outdated technology, and to increase the number of intervention studies to reduce these high DA-HAI rates internationally. Therefore, additional epidemiological studies are to be performed to

develop more definitive approaches for DA-HAI prevention in the form of practical, cost-effective technological measures that are feasible to implement internationally. Finally, INICC results demonstrate that the implementation of the INICC multidimensional infection control program was associated with a significant VAP rate reduction in adult, pediatric and neonatal ICUs internationally.

### INICC Methodology

The INICC Surveillance Program includes two components: outcome surveillance (VAP rates and consequences) and process surveillance (adherence to hand hygiene and other basic preventive infection control practices).<sup>7</sup>

The investigators at the participating hospitals were required to perform outcome and process surveillance by completing forms, which were then sent for their monthly analysis to the INICC office in Buenos Aires.<sup>7</sup>

### Outcome Surveillance

The INICC Surveillance Program is focused on the methods and definitions for DAI developed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System (NNIS)/ National Health Safety Network (NHSN) program.<sup>75,76</sup> However, the INICC methods have taken into consideration the different socioeconomic status and specific limitations of limited-resource countries, and were adapted for their application in this setting.<sup>7</sup> Outcome surveillance includes rates VAP per 1000 device-days; microorganism profile, bacterial resistance, length of stay, and mortality in their ICUs.

### Process surveillance

Preventive strategies in INICC member hospitals are based on simple, inexpensive, evidence-based measures, which include outcome surveillance, process surveillance, education and performance feedback of outcome surveillance and process surveillance.<sup>7</sup>

Process surveillance is designed to monitor compliance with easily measurable, key infection control measures. It includes the surveillance of compliance rates for hand hygiene practices and some specific infection control measures for the prevention of VAP.<sup>74,77-79</sup>

Hand-hygiene (HH) compliance by healthcare workers (HCWs) is determined by measuring the frequency of HH performances when clearly indicated, and such practices are monitored by the hospital's ICP during randomly selected 1-hour observation periods, 3 times a week. Although HCWs know that HH practices are regularly monitored, they are not actually aware of the precise moment in which observations are taking place.<sup>7</sup>

ICPs were trained to detect HH compliance and record HH opportunities and compliance through direct observation. The INICC direct observation comprises the "Five Moments for Hand Hygiene," as recommended by the World Health Organization



(WHO). The “Five Moments” were designed on the basis of the evidence concerning DAI prevention and control, and include the monitoring of the following moments: (1) before patient contact, (2) before an aseptic task, (3) after body fluid exposure risk, (4) after patient contact, and (5) after contact with patient surroundings.<sup>80</sup>

### **Training and Validation**

Investigators are self-trained by means of a manual and training tool that describe how to perform surveillance and complete surveillance forms. Investigators have continuous e-mail and telephone access to a support team at the INICC Central Office in Buenos Aires, Argentina, which is in charge of responding to all queries within 24 hours. The INICC Chairman further reviews all queries and responses.

Surveillance forms for individual patients allow internal and external validation, because they include every clinical and microbiological criterion for each type of DAI, such as temperature, blood pressure, use of invasive devices, cultures taken, culture results, antibiotic use. Surveillance also includes a form where positive cultures are registered and matched with patients' forms.

On a monthly basis, participating hospitals submit the completed surveillance forms to the INICC Central Office, where the validity of each case was checked and the recorded signs and symptoms of infection and the results of laboratory studies, radiographic studies, and cultures were scrutinized to assure that the NNIS System criteria for device-associated infection were fulfilled.

The ICT member who reviewed the forms completed at the participating AICU was able to verify that criteria for infection had been met accurately in each case. Additionally, the original patient data forms were further validated at the INICC Central Office, before data on the reported infection were entered into the INICC's database. To that end, queries were submitted from INICC office in Buenos Aires to the ICT teams at each hospital, challenging those cases with suspected VAP, and data were uploaded after receiving the reply from hospital teams. Finally, the INICC team performed consistency analyses of database, such as age, gender, dates, among other data, and reviews of medical records that compared data registered in forms and data in medical records.

### **Performance Feedback**

The concept of using performance feedback of outcome surveillance and process surveillance as a valuable control measure in limited-resource hospitals was based on its effectiveness as proved in previous INICC studies.<sup>74,77-79,81,82</sup>

The INICC Central Office team prepared and sent monthly chart reports to each participating hospital that detailed their rates of VAP, microbiology profile, and rates of adherence to hand hygiene, among other infection related data. The participating ICU staff received feedback on their performance at monthly meetings, by means of the review of said charts, which were posted in a prominent location in the ICU.



---

## Bundle Background

Within the INICC program, the infection prevention bundle was based on the guidelines published by the Society for Health Care Epidemiology of America (SHEA) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), which describe evidence-based interventions and recommendations for VAP prevention in the ICU.<sup>83</sup> In addition, the INICC prevention bundle also followed the recommendation by the Institute of Healthcare Improvement (IHI) that a ventilator bundle be implemented at every ICU to reduce the incidence of VAP to zero, which was part of the 5 Million Lives campaign, endorsed by leading US agencies and professional societies.<sup>84</sup> Within the international context, outcome and process surveillance, integrated in an intervention bundle with performance feedback of infection control practices, has been shown to successfully reduce and control DAIs in different studies conducted in INICC member hospitals.<sup>70-74,77,78,81,82</sup>

These bundle provide feasible and cost-effective infection control measures, applicable internationally.

---

## Index:

### 1. General Strategies to prevent VAP

- i. Surveillance of VAP Rates.
- ii. Educate healthcare personnel.
- iii. Perform hand hygiene.
- iv. Limit the Use of Mechanical Ventilation.
  - Use noninvasive ventilation whenever possible.
  - Minimize the duration of ventilation.
  - Perform daily assessments of readiness to wean.
- v. Implement a Multidimensional approach.

### 2. Core Strategies to prevent VAP

#### i. Prevent Aspiration of Secretions

- Maintain patients in a semi-recumbent position.
- Avoid gastric overdistention.
- Avoid unplanned extubation and reintubation.
- Use a cuffed endotracheal tube with in-line or subglottic suctioning.
- Maintain an endotracheal cuff pressure of at least 20 cm H<sub>2</sub>O.

#### ii. Prevent Colonization of the Aerodigestive Tract

- Orotracheal intubation.
- Perform comprehensive oral care.

#### iii. Prevent Use of Contaminated Equipment.

- Remove condensate from ventilatory circuits. Keep the ventilatory circuit closed during condensate removal.
- Change the ventilatory circuit only when visibly soiled or malfunctioning.
- Store and disinfect respiratory therapy equipment properly.
- Use sterile water to rinse reusable respirator equipment.

---

## 1. General Strategies to prevent VAP

### i. Conduct active surveillance for VAP.<sup>85</sup>

Perform ongoing surveillance of the incidence density of VAP on units that care for patients undergoing mechanical ventilation who are known or suspected to be at high risk for VAP, to permit longitudinal assessment of process of care.

Incidence density of VAP, reported as the number of episodes of VAP per 1,000 ventilator-days.

Preferred measure of VAP incidence density: 1- Numerator: number of patients undergoing

mechanical ventilation who have VAP, defined using National Healthcare Safety Network definitions; 2- Denominator: number of ventilator-days; 3- Multiply by 1,000 so that the measure is expressed as cases per 1,000 ventilator-days.

---

### ii. Educate healthcare personnel who care for patients undergoing ventilation about VAP.<sup>1-4</sup>

1. Educate healthcare personnel who care for patients undergoing ventilation about VAP, including information about the following: a. Local epidemiology; b. Risk factors; c. Patient outcomes.

2. Educate clinicians who care for patients undergoing ventilation about noninvasive ventilatory strategies.

---

### iii. Adhere to Hand-Hygiene Guidelines Published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the World Health Organization.<sup>85,86</sup>

Collect data on a sample of healthcare personnel from all disciplines who provide hands-on care to patients undergoing ventilation, including physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, and radiology technicians. Perform observations at regular intervals (e.g., 1 set of measurements per week). The frequency of observations can be adjusted on the basis of compliance rates (e.g., as compliance improves, less frequent observations may be needed).

---

### iv. Limit the use of mechanical ventilation: Use noninvasive ventilation whenever possible.<sup>87-94</sup>

Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) refers to the administration of ventilatory support without using an invasive artificial airway (endotracheal tube or tracheostomy tube). NIV has been used primarily for patients with acute hypercapnic ventilatory failure, and especially for acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In this population, the use of NIV is associated with a marked reduction in the need for endotracheal intubation, a decrease in complication rate, a reduced duration of hospital stay and a substantial reduction in hospital mortality. Similar benefits have also been demonstrated in patients with asphyxial forms of acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema. Major benefits have also been demonstrated in selected populations with no contraindications such as multiple organ failure, loss of consciousness

or haemodynamic instability. One important factor in success seems to be the early delivery of noninvasive ventilation during the course of respiratory failure. Noninvasive ventilation allows many of the complications associated with mechanical ventilation to be avoided, especially the occurrence of nosocomial infections.

---

**iv. Limit the use of mechanical ventilation:  
Minimize the duration of ventilation.**<sup>90,95-101</sup>

Daily interruption of sedation followed by a readiness to wean assessment and readiness for a spontaneous breathing trial. Around the clock sedation assessment using a reliable and valid tool.

---

**iv. Limit the use of mechanical ventilation:  
Perform daily assessments of readiness to wean.**<sup>85,97</sup> **Use weaning protocols.**<sup>102,103</sup>

Hospital teams across the United States have developed and tested process and system changes that allowed them to improve performance on daily sedation vacations and daily assessment of readiness to extubate. These measures, taken together, support the implementation of the ventilator bundle. Some of these changes are:

- 1- Implement a protocol to lighten sedation daily at an appropriate time to assess for neurological readiness to extubate. Include precautions to prevent self-extubation such as increased monitoring and vigilance during the trial.
- 2- Include a sedation vacation strategy in your overall plan to wean the patient from the ventilator; if you have a weaning protocol, add sedation vacation to that strategy.
- 3- Assess compliance each day on multidisciplinary rounds.
- 4- Consider implementation of a sedation scale such as the Riker scale to avoid over-sedation.
- 5- Post compliance with the intervention in a prominent place in your ICU to encourage change and motivate staff.

---

**v. Implement a multidimensional approach.**<sup>70-73</sup>

Apply a multidimensional approach for VAP prevention including the following measures:

- 1- Bundle of infection control interventions,
- 2- Education,
- 3- Outcome surveillance,
- 4- Process surveillance,
- 5- Feedback of VAP rates, and
- 6- Performance feedback of infection control practices.

---

## 2. Core Strategies to Prevent VAP

---

### i. Prevent Aspiration of Secretions

---

**Maintain patients in a semi-recumbent position (30-45 elevation of the head of the bed) unless there are contraindications.**<sup>101</sup>

Head of the bed elevated for the majority of the day (unless medically contraindicated). It is understood that patients might be cared for at different bed

angles during different times of the day, and that continuous monitoring of bed angles is impossible. Therefore, to implement this measure, the ventilator patient in the intensive care unit must be monitored at least two times in a 24-hour period to see if the head of the bed is elevated to 30 degrees or greater. The observations should coincide with the structure of the ICU shifts and one observation should be made on at least two different shifts within the 24 hour period. It is recommended that there be a minimum of 8 hours between observations. In order to achieve the most valid results, it is suggested that a pre-determined schedule be devised. The schedule may or may not be random, but should ensure that equal numbers of observations are made during each day of the week.

Consider progressive mobility: continuous lateral rotation therapy or at least early mobility.

(a) Experimental trials have demonstrated that backrest elevation is associated with a reduced risk of pulmonary aspiration.<sup>1041-2</sup>

(b) Multivariable analysis of risk factors associated with VAP found up to a 67% reduction in VAP among patients maintained in semi-recumbency during the first 24 hours of mechanical ventilation.<sup>105</sup>

(c) The impact of semi-recumbency was confirmed in an observational study,<sup>99</sup> and a randomized trial.<sup>106</sup>

(d) However, recent studies indicate that semi-recumbent positioning is rarely maintained,<sup>107</sup> and may not be associated with a reduced rate of tracheal colonization<sup>107</sup> or VAP.<sup>108</sup>

---

**Avoid gastric overdistention.**<sup>90,109-113</sup>

According with Heyland study, patients fed into the stomach had more episodes of gastroesophageal regurgitation (39.8% vs. 24.9%,  $p = .04$ ) and trended toward more microaspiration (7.5% vs. 3.9%,  $p = .22$ ) compared with patients fed beyond the pylorus.

---

**Avoid unplanned extubation and reintubation.**<sup>85,97,114,115</sup>

Perhaps the most risky aspect of lightening the sedation that the patient is receiving daily is the chance that patients might self-extubate. This risk can be diminished by ensuring that the process is adequately supervised and that appropriate restraints are applied to the patient's arms in a comfortable fashion.

---

**Use a cuffed endotracheal tube with in-line or subglottic suctioning.**

Subglottic secretion drainage is associated with a decreased incidence of VAP. To increase their utility and cost-effectiveness, these tubes should only be placed in patients expected to require prolonged mechanical ventilation.

(a) Meta-analysis demonstrated that subglottic secretion drainage was effective in preventing early-on- set VAP.<sup>116</sup>

---

**Maintain an endotracheal cuff pressure of at least 20 cm H<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>1</sup>**

Cuff pressure must be monitored frequently.<sup>117</sup>

---

**ii. Strategies to reduce colonization of the aerodigestive tract**

---

**Orotracheal intubation is preferable to nasotracheal intubation.**

Oral endotracheal intubation is associated with a trend toward a reduction in VAP compared to nasotracheal intubation and with a decreased incidence of sinusitis (the incidence of VAP is lower in patients who do not develop sinusitis). Reintubation should be avoided if possible. (a) Nasotracheal intubation increases the risk of sinusitis,<sup>118,119</sup> which may increase the risk for VAP.<sup>112,120</sup>

---

**Perform comprehensive oral care,<sup>90,121-125</sup> with an antiseptic solution.<sup>126-129</sup>**

- 1- Perform tooth brushing, oral cleansing with antiseptic solution (e.g. Chlorhexidine 0.12%) and suctioning, twice daily.
- 2- Antiseptic oral rinse with Chlorhexidine after brushing.
- 3- In between tooth brushing, debride biofilm with swab impregnated with an oral solution (e.g. hydrogen peroxide) and suctioning simultaneously, every 4 hours.
- 4- Apply a mouth moisturizer to the oral mucosa and lips to keep tissue moist as needed.

**Oral Care Rationale and Other Considerations:**

(a) Oropharyngeal cleaning and decontamination with an antiseptic agent; develop and implement a comprehensive oral-hygiene program (that might include the use of an antiseptic agent) for patients in acute-care settings or residents in long-term care facilities who are at risk for healthcare associated pneumonia (II).<sup>121,130</sup>

(b) Use of Chlorhexidine Gluconate (0.12%) oral rinse during the perioperative period on adult patients who undergo cardiac surgery (II).<sup>124</sup>

(c) Antiseptic oral rinses (Chlorhexidine Gluconate, Cetylpyridinium Chloride [CPC]), added after brushing or done in conjunction with comprehensive oral care did achieve elimination of VAP.<sup>4131</sup>

---

**iii. Strategies to minimize contamination of equipment used to care for patients receiving mechanical ventilation**

---

**Remove condensate from ventilatory circuits. Keep the ventilatory circuit closed during condensate removal.<sup>85,90,97,132</sup>**

Periodically drain and discard any condensate that collects in the tubing of a mechanical ventilator, taking precautions not to allow condensate to drain toward the patient.

---

**Change the ventilatory circuit only when visibly soiled or malfunctioning.<sup>85,133-138</sup>**

Do not, on the basis of duration of use, routinely change the breathing circuit (ie, ventilator tubing and ex- halation valve and the attached humidifier) that is in use by an individual patient. Change the circuit when it is visibly soiled or mechanically malfunctioning.

---

**Store and disinfect respiratory therapy equipment properly.<sup>85</sup>**

Thoroughly clean all respiratory equipment to be sterilized or disinfected. Whenever possible, use steam sterilization or high- level disinfection by wet heat pasteurization at temperatures higher than 70C (158F) for 30 minutes for reprocessing semicritical equipment or devices (ie, items that come into direct or indirect contact with mucous membranes of the lower respiratory tract). Use low-temperature sterilization methods (as approved by the Office of Device Evaluation, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, US Food and Drug Administration) for equipment or devices that are heat or moisture sensitive. After disinfection, proceed with appropriate rinsing, drying, and packaging, taking care not to contaminate the disinfected items.

---

**Use sterile water to rinse reusable respirator equipment.<sup>85</sup>**

Preferentially use sterile water to rinse reusable semicritical respiratory equipment and devices when rinsing is needed after chemical disinfection. If this is not feasible, rinse the device with filtered water (ie, water that has been through a 0.2-mm filter) or tap water, and then rinse with isopropyl alcohol and dry with forced air or in a drying cabinet.

## References:

1. Arabi Y, Al-Shirawi N, Memish Z, Anzueto A. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults in developing countries: a systematic review. *Int J Infect Dis* 2008;12:505-12.
2. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Orellano PW. Nosocomial infections in medical-surgical intensive care units in Argentina: attributable mortality and length of stay. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:291-5.
3. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone N, Safdar N. The attributable cost and length of hospital stay because of nosocomial pneumonia in intensive care units in 3 hospitals in Argentina: a prospective, matched analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2005;33:157-61.
4. Panknin HT. [Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia: review of national and international guidelines]. *Pflege Z* 2006;59:suppl 2-8.
5. Rosenthal VD. Health-care-associated infections in developing countries. *Lancet* 2011;377:186-8.
6. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Jamultrat S, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2003-2008, issued June 2009. *Am J Infect Control* 2010;38:95-104 e2.
7. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:e1-12.
8. Lynch P, Rosenthal VD, Borg MA, Eremin SR. Infection Control in Developing Countries. In: Jarvis WR, ed. *Bennett and Brachman's Hospital Infections* Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007:255.
9. Cuellar LE, Fernandez-Maldonado E, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and mortality in intensive care units of Peruvian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2008;24:16-24.
10. Guanache-Garcell H, Requejo-Pino O, Rosenthal VD, Morales-Perez C, Delgado-Gonzalez O, Fernandez-Gonzalez D. Device-associated infection rates in adult intensive care units of Cuban university hospitals: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. *Int J Infect Dis* 2011;15:e357-62.
11. Leblebicioglu H, Rosenthal VD, Arkan OA, et al. Device-associated hospital-acquired infection rates in Turkish intensive care units. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *J Hosp Infect* 2007;65:251-7.
12. Mehta A, Rosenthal VD, Mehta Y, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of seven Indian cities. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *J Hosp Infect* 2007;67:168-74.
13. Moreno CA, Rosenthal VD, Olarte N, et al. Device-associated infection rate and mortality in intensive care units of 9 Colombian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006;27:349-56.
14. Ramirez Barba EJ, Rosenthal VD, Higuera F, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units in four Mexican public hospitals. *Am J Infect Control* 2006;34:244-7.
15. Rosenthal VD. Device-associated nosocomial infections in limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:S171 e7-12.
16. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Crnich C. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of Argentina. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;25:251-5.
17. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Salomao R, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infections in 55 intensive care units of 8 developing countries. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;145:582-91.
18. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grimberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2008;24:195-202.
19. Velasco E, Thuler LC, Martins CA, Dias LM, Goncalves VM. Nosocomial infections in an oncology intensive care unit. *Am J Infect Control* 1997;25:458-62.
20. Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL, Jr., et al. Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002. *Public Health Rep* 2007;122:160-6.
21. Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Report, data summary for 2006, issued June 2007. *Am J Infect Control* 2007;35:290-301.
22. Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, Dudeck MA, Pollock DA, Horan TC. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Report, data summary for 2006 through 2007, issued November 2008. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:609-26.
23. Allegranzi B, Nejad SB, Combescure C, et al. Burden of endemic healthcare-associated infection in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2010;In Press.
24. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Orellano PW. Nosocomial infections in medical-surgical intensive care units in Argentina: attributable mortality and length of stay. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:291-5.
25. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grimberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2008;24:195-202.
26. Tao L, Hu B, Rosenthal V, Gao X, He L. Device-Associated Infections Rates in 398 Intensive Care Units from Shanghai, China: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Findings. *Int J Infect Dis* 2011;In Press.
27. Moreno CA, Rosenthal VD, Olarte N, et al. Device-associated infection rate and mortality in intensive care units of 9 Colombian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006;27:349-56.
28. Guanache-Garcell H, Requejo-Pino O, Rosenthal VD, Morales-Perez C, Delgado-Gonzalez O, Fernandez-Gonzalez D. Device-associated infection rates in adult intensive care units of Cuban university hospitals: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. *Int J Infect Dis* 2011;15:e357-62.
29. Rasslan O, Salah-Seliem Z, Abdullorziz-Ghazi I, et al. Device-Associated Infection Rates in Adult and Paediatric Intensive Care Units of Hospitals in Egypt. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Findings. In Press 2012;In Press.
30. Duenas L, Bran de Casares A, Rosenthal VD, Jesus Machuca L. Device-associated infections rates in pediatrics and neonatal intensive care units in El Salvador: findings of the INICC. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2011;5:445-51.
31. Mehta A, Rosenthal VD, Mehta Y, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of seven Indian cities. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *J Hosp Infect* 2007;67:168-74.
32. Ramirez Barba EJ, Rosenthal VD, Higuera F, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units in four Mexican public hospitals. *Am J Infect Control* 2006;34:244-7.
33. Madani N, Rosenthal VD, Dendane T, Abidi K, Zeggwagh AA, Abouqal R. Health-care associated infections rates, length of stay, and bacterial resistance in an intensive care unit of Morocco: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Int Arch Med* 2009;2:29.
34. Cuellar LE, Fernandez-Maldonado E, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and mortality in intensive care units of Peruvian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2008;24:16-24.
35. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. *Am J Infect Control* 2011;39:548-54.





36. Kubler A, Duszynska W, Rosenthal VD, et al. Device-associated infection rates and extra length of stay in an intensive care unit of a university hospital in Wroclaw, Poland: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium's (INICC) findings. *Journal of critical care* 2011.
37. Leblebicioglu H, Rosenthal VD, Arikian OA, et al. Device-associated hospital-acquired infection rates in Turkish intensive care units. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *J Hosp Infect* 2007;65:251-7.
38. Kanj S, Kanafani Z, Sidani N, Alamuddin L, Zahreddine N, Rosenthal V. International nosocomial infection control consortium findings of device-associated infections rate in an intensive care unit of a lebanese university hospital. *J Glob Infect Dis* 2012;4:15-21.
39. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Salomao R, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infections in 55 intensive care units of 8 developing countries. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;145:582-91.
40. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Mehta A, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary for 2002-2007, issued January 2008. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:627-37.
41. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Jamulitrat S, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2003-2008, issued June 2009. *Am J Infect Control* 2010;38:95-104 e2.
42. Rosenthal VD, Bijie H, Maki DG, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary of 36 countries, for 2004-2009. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2012; In Press.
43. Rosenthal VD, Lynch P, Jarvis WR, et al. Socioeconomic impact on device-associated infections in limited-resource neonatal intensive care units: findings of the INICC. *Infection* 2011;39:439-50.
44. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Crnich CJ. The attributable cost, length of hospital stay, and mortality of central line-associated bloodstream infection in intensive care departments in Argentina: A prospective, matched analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:475-80.
45. Salomao R, Rosenthal VD, Grinberg G, et al. Device-associated infection rates in intensive care units of Brazilian hospitals: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2008;24:195-202.
46. Duenas L, Bran de Casares A, Rosenthal VD, Jesus Machuca L. Device-associated infections rates in pediatrics and neonatal intensive care units in El Salvador: Findings of the INICC. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2011;5:445-51.
47. Higuera F, Rangel-Frausto MS, Rosenthal VD, et al. Attributable cost and length of stay for patients with central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infection in Mexico City intensive care units: a prospective, matched analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2007;28:31-5.
48. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. *Am J Infect Control* 2011.
49. Kanj S, Nada Z, VD R, Nisreen S, Lamia A, Zeina K. Device-Associated Infections Rate in an Intensive Care Unit of a Lebanese University Hospital: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Findings. *Journal of Global Infectious Diseases* 2011; In Press.
50. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Mehta A, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary for 2002-2007, issued January 2008. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:627-37.
51. Rosenthal VD, Hu B, Maki DG, Navoa-Ng JA. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2004-2009. *Am J Infect Control* (In Press) 2011.
52. Rosenthal VD, Lynch P, Jarvis WR, et al. Socioeconomic impact on device-associated infections in limited-resource neonatal intensive care units: findings of the INICC. *Infection* 2011.
53. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Crnich CJ. The attributable cost, length of hospital stay, and mortality of central line-associated bloodstream infection in intensive care departments in Argentina: A prospective, matched analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:475-80.
54. Rosenthal VD, Udwardia FE, Munoz HJ, et al. Time-dependent analysis of extra length of stay and mortality due to ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive-care units of ten limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Epidemiol Infect* 2011;1-7.
55. Rosenthal VD, Dwivedy A, Calderon ME, et al. Time-dependent analysis of length of stay and mortality due to urinary tract infections in ten developing countries: INICC findings. *J Infect* 2011;62:136-41.
56. Pawar M, Mehta Y, Purohit A, Trehan N, Rosenthal VD. Resistance in gram-negative bacilli in a cardiac intensive care unit in India: risk factors and outcome. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2008;11:20-6.
57. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:e1-12.
58. Rosenthal VD. Device-associated nosocomial infections in limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:S171 e7-12.
59. Navoa-Ng JA, Berba R, Galapia YA, et al. Device-associated infections rates in adult, pediatric, and neonatal intensive care units of hospitals in the Philippines: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. *American journal of infection control* 2011.
60. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Safdar N. The attributable cost and length of hospital stay because of nosocomial pneumonia in intensive care units in 3 hospitals in Argentina: a prospective, matched analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2005;33:157-61.
61. Rosenthal VD, Udwardia FE, Munoz HJ, et al. Time-dependent analysis of extra length of stay and mortality due to ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive-care units of ten limited-resources countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Epidemiology and infection* 2011:1-7.
62. Hidron AI, Edwards JR, Patel J, et al. NHSN annual update: antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: annual summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006-2007. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:996-1011.
63. Townsend TR, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in a newborn intensive care unit: a case-matched control study of morbidity, mortality and risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 1981;114:73-80.
64. Pessoa-Silva CL, Miyasaki CH, de Almeida MF, Kopelman BI, Raggio RL, Wey SB. Neonatal late-onset bloodstream infection: attributable mortality, excess of length of stay and risk factors. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2001;17:715-20.
65. Stoll BJ, Hansen N, Fanaroff AA, et al. Late-onset sepsis in very low birth weight neonates: the experience of the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:285-91.
66. Powers RJ, Wirtschafter DW. Decreasing central line associated bloodstream infection in neonatal intensive care. *Clin Perinatol* 2010;37:247-72.
67. Hughes JM. Study on the efficacy of nosocomial infection control (SENIC Project): results and implications for the future. *Chemotherapy* 1988;34:553-61.
68. Rosenthal VD. Central line-associated bloodstream infections in limited-resource countries: a review of the literature. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1899-907.
69. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Pezzotto SM, Crnich CJ. Effect of an infection control program using education and performance feedback on rates of intravascular device-associated bloodstream infections in intensive care units in Argentina. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:405-9.



70. Tao L, Hu B, Rosenthal VD, Zhang Y, Gao X, He L. Impact of a multidimensional approach on ventilator-associated pneumonia rates in a hospital of Shanghai: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium. *Journal of critical care* 2012.
71. Rosenthal VD, Rodrigues C, Álvarez-Moreno C, et al. Effectiveness of a Multi-faceted Approach for Prevention of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Adult Intensive Care Units from 14 Developing Countries: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Critical Care Medicine*. 2012. In Press.
72. Rosenthal VD, Alvarez-Moreno C, Villamil-Gomez W, et al. Effectiveness of a multidimensional approach to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care units of 5 developing countries: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium findings. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2011.
73. Rosenthal VD, Rodríguez-Calderón MD, Rodríguez-Ferrer M. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) Part II: Impact of a Multidimensional Strategy to Reduce Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Neonatal Intensive Care Units in Ten Developing Countries. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*. 2012. In Press
74. Rosenthal VD, McCormick RD, Guzman S, Villamayor C, Orellano PW. Effect of education and performance feedback on handwashing: the benefit of administrative support in Argentinean hospitals. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:85-92.
75. Emori TG, Culver DH, Horan TC, et al. National nosocomial infections surveillance system (NNIS): description of surveillance methods. *Am J Infect Control* 1991;19:19-35.
76. Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:309-32.
77. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Pezzotto SM, Crnich CJ. Effect of an infection control program using education and performance feedback on rates of intravascular device-associated bloodstream infections in intensive care units in Argentina. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:405-9.
78. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Safdar N. Effect of education and performance feedback on rates of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in intensive care units in Argentina. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;25:47-50.
79. Higuera F, Rosenthal VD, Duarte P, Ruiz J, Franco G, Safdar N. The effect of process control on the incidence of central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections and mortality in intensive care units in Mexico. *Crit Care Med* 2005;33:2022-7.
80. Sax H, Allegranzi B, Chraïti MN, Boyce J, Larson E, Pittet D. The World Health Organization hand hygiene observation method. *Am J Infect Control* 2009;37:827-34.
81. Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Crnich C. Impact of an infection control program on rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care units in 2 Argentinean hospitals. *Am J Infect Control* 2006;34:58-63.
82. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Rodrigues C, et al. Impact of International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) strategy on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in the intensive care units of 15 developing countries. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;31:1264-72.
83. Coffin SE, Klompas M, Classen D, et al. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29 Suppl 1:S31-40.
84. Youngquist P, Carroll M, Farber M, et al. Implementing a ventilator bundle in a community hospital. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 2007;33:219-25.
85. Tablan OC, Anderson LJ, Besser R, Bridges C, Hajjeh R. Guidelines for preventing health-care--associated pneumonia, 2003: recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *MMWR Recommendations and reports : Morbidity and mortality weekly report Recommendations and reports / Centers for Disease Control* 2004;53:1-36.
86. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings. Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HIPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2002;30:S1-46.
87. Antonelli M, Conti G, Rocco M, et al. A comparison of noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation and conventional mechanical ventilation in patients with acute respiratory failure. *The New England journal of medicine* 1998;339:429-35.
88. Brochard L. Mechanical ventilation: invasive versus noninvasive. *The European respiratory journal Supplement* 2003;47:31s-7s.
89. Girou E, Brun-Buisson C, Taille S, Lemaire F, Brochard L. Secular trends in nosocomial infections and mortality associated with noninvasive ventilation in patients with exacerbation of COPD and pulmonary edema. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 2003;290:2985-91.
90. Kollef MH. Prevention of hospital-associated pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Critical Care Medicine* 2004;32:1396-405.
91. Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, et al. Noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *The New England journal of medicine* 1995;333:817-22.
92. Nava S, Ambrosino N, Cline E, et al. Noninvasive mechanical ventilation in the weaning of patients with respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A randomized, controlled trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1998;128:721-8.
93. Girou E, Schortgen F, Delclaux C, et al. Association of noninvasive ventilation with nosocomial infections and survival in critically ill patients. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 2000;284:2361-7.
94. Nourdine K, Combes P, Carton MJ, Beuret P, Cannamela A, Ducreux JC. Does noninvasive ventilation reduce the ICU nosocomial infection risk? A prospective clinical survey. *Intensive Care Medicine* 1999;25:567-73.
95. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Resp Crit Care* 2005;171:388-416.
96. Burns KE, Adhikari NK, Meade MO. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation as a weaning strategy for intubated adults with respiratory failure. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2003:CD004127.
97. Erhart LM, Rangel MC, Lu PJ, Singleton JA. Prevalence and characteristics of children at increased risk for complications from influenza, United States, 2000. *The Journal of pediatrics* 2004;144:191-5.
98. Kollef MH. Avoidance of tracheal intubation as a strategy to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Intensive Care Medicine* 1999;25:553-5.
99. Resar R, Pronovost P, Haraden C, Simmonds T, Rainey T, Nolan T. Using a bundle approach to improve ventilator care processes and reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Joint Commission journal on quality and patient safety / Joint Commission Resources* 2005;31:243-8.
100. Brook AD, Ahrens TS, Schaiff R, et al. Effect of a nursing-implemented sedation protocol on the duration of mechanical ventilation. *Critical Care Medicine* 1999;27:2609-15.
101. Dellinger RP, Vincent JL. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign sepsis change bundles and clinical practice. *Critical care* 2005;9:653-4.
102. Babcock HM, Zack JE, Garrison T, et al. An educational intervention to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia in an integrated health system: a comparison of effects. *Chest* 2004;125:2224-31.
103. Ely EW, Meade MO, Haponik EF, et al. Mechanical ventilator weaning protocols driven by nonphysician health-care professionals: evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2001;120:454S-63S.



104. Torres A, Serra-Batlles J, Ros E, et al. Pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents in patients receiving mechanical ventilation: the effect of body position. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1992;116:540-3.
105. Kollef MH. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. A multivariate analysis. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 1993;270:1965-70.
106. Drakulovic MB, Torres A, Bauer TT, Nicolas JM, Nogue S, Ferrer M. Supine body position as a risk factor for nosocomial pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 1999;354:1851-8.
107. van Nieuwenhoven CA, Vandenbroucke-Grauls C, van Tiel FH, et al. Feasibility and effects of the semirecumbent position to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia: a randomized study. *Critical Care Medicine* 2006;34:396-402.
108. Girou E, Buu-Hoi A, Stephan F, et al. Airway colonisation in long-term mechanically ventilated patients. Effect of semi-recumbent position and continuous subglottic suctioning. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2004;30:225-33.
109. Niederman MS, Craven DE. Devising strategies for preventing nosocomial pneumonia--should we ignore the stomach? *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* 1997;24:320-3.
110. Ibrahim EH, Mehlinger L, Prentice D, et al. Early versus late enteral feeding of mechanically ventilated patients: results of a clinical trial. *JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition* 2002;26:174-81.
111. Heyland DK, Drover JW, MacDonald S, Novak F, Lam M. Effect of postpyloric feeding on gastroesophageal regurgitation and pulmonary microaspiration: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Critical Care Medicine* 2001;29:1495-501.
112. Holzapfel L, Chevret S, Madinier G, et al. Influence of long-term oro- or nasotracheal intubation on nosocomial maxillary sinusitis and pneumonia: results of a prospective, randomized, clinical trial. *Critical Care Medicine* 1993;21:1132-8.
113. Heyland DK, Drover JW, MacDonald S, Novak F, Lam M. Effect of postpyloric feeding on gastroesophageal regurgitation and pulmonary microaspiration: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med* 2001;29:1495-501.
114. Elward AM, Warren DK, Fraser VJ. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care unit patients: risk factors and outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2002;109:758-64.
115. Torres A, Gatell JM, Aznar E, et al. Re-intubation increases the risk of nosocomial pneumonia in patients needing mechanical ventilation. *Am J Resp Crit Care* 1995;152:137-41.
116. Dezfulian C, Shojania K, Collard HR, Kim HM, Matthay MA, Saint S. Subglottic secretion drainage for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *The American journal of medicine* 2005;118:11-8.
117. Rello J, Sonora R, Jubert P, Artigas A, Rue M, Valles J. Pneumonia in intubated patients: role of respiratory airway care. *Am J Resp Crit Care* 1996;154:111-5.
118. Salord F, Gaussorgues P, Marti-Flich J, et al. Nosocomial maxillary sinusitis during mechanical ventilation: a prospective comparison of orotracheal versus the nasotracheal route for intubation. *Intensive Care Medicine* 1990;16:390-3.
119. Rouby JJ, Laurent P, Gosnach M, et al. Risk factors and clinical relevance of nosocomial maxillary sinusitis in the critically ill. *Am J Resp Crit Care* 1994;150:776-83.
120. Holzapfel L, Chastang C, Demingeon G, Bohe J, Piralla B, Coupury A. A randomized study assessing the systematic search for maxillary sinusitis in nasotracheally mechanically ventilated patients. Influence of nosocomial maxillary sinusitis on the occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Resp Crit Care* 1999;159:695-701.
121. Yoneyama T, Yoshida M, Ohru T, et al. Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2002;50:430-3.
122. Maher KG, Bateman ST. Critical appraisal of: Koeman M, van der Ven AJ, Hak E, et al: Oral decontamination with chlorhexidine reduces the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 173:1348-1355. *Pediatric critical care medicine : a journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies* 2009;10:242-5.
123. Mori H, Hirasawa H, Oda S, Shiga H, Matsuda K, Nakamura M. Oral care reduces incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in ICU populations. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2006;32:230-6.
124. DeRiso AJ, 2nd, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Chest* 1996;109:1556-61.
125. Rumbak MJ, Cancio MR. Significant reduction in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ventilator-associated pneumonia associated with the institution of a prevention protocol. *Critical Care Medicine* 1995;23:1200-3.
126. Houston S, Hougland P, Anderson JJ, LaRocco M, Kennedy V, Gentry LO. Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. *American journal of critical care : an official publication, American Association of Critical-Care Nurses* 2002;11:567-70.
127. Segers P, Speekenbrink RG, Ubbink DT, van Ogtrop ML, de Mol BA. Prevention of nosocomial infection in cardiac surgery by decontamination of the nasopharynx and oropharynx with chlorhexidine gluconate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 2006;296:2460-6.
128. Silvestri L, van Saene JJ, van Saene HK, Weir I. Topical chlorhexidine and ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Critical Care Medicine* 2007;35:2468.
129. Chan EY, Ruest A, Meade MO, Cook DJ. Oral decontamination for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2007;334:889.
130. Schleder B, Stott K, Lloyd RC. The effect of a comprehensive oral care protocol on patients at risk for ventilator-associated pneumonia. *J Advocate Health Care* 2002;4:27-30.
131. AACN 6th Edition.
132. Craven DE, Goularte TA, Make BJ. Contaminated condensate in mechanical ventilator circuits. A risk factor for nosocomial pneumonia? *The American review of respiratory disease* 1984;129:625-8.
133. Kollef MH. Prolonged use of ventilator circuits and ventilator-associated pneumonia: a model for identifying the optimal clinical practice. *Chest* 1998;113:267-9.
134. Stamm AM. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and frequency of circuit changes. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1998;26:71-3.
135. Kollef MH, Shapiro SD, Fraser VJ, et al. Mechanical ventilation with or without 7-day circuit changes. A randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1995;123:168-74.
136. Hess DR, Kallstrom TJ, Mottram CD, Myers TR, Sorenson HM, Vines DL. Care of the ventilator circuit and its relation to ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Respiratory care* 2003;48:869-79.
137. Dreyfuss D, Djedaini K, Weber P, et al. Prospective study of nosocomial pneumonia and of patient and circuit colonization during mechanical ventilation with circuit changes every 48 hours versus no change. *The American review of respiratory disease* 1991;143:738-43.
138. Markowicz P, Ricard JD, Dreyfuss D, et al. Safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of mechanical ventilation with humidifying filters changed every 48 hours: a prospective, randomized study. *Critical Care Medicine* 2000;28:665-71.